INFORMATION
Volume 29, Number 1, pp.11-16
ISSN 1343-4500 eISSN 1344-8994
©2026 International Information Institute

Water Environmental Management Information Systems in Japan: Past, Present, and Future

Qinxue Wang[1]*, Tomohiro Okadera[1], Satoshi Kameyama[1], and Xinyi Huang[2,1]

[1] *National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Tsukuba, Japan*
[2] *Graduate School of Life and Earth Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Japan*
**Corresponding author: wangqx@nies.go.jp*

Abstract

Over the past half century, Japan has transformed from a nation once plagued by water pollution and flood disasters into a global leader in digital and integrated water environmental management. This review synthesizes the historical evolution, current landscape, and future perspectives of Japan's Water Environmental Management Information Systems (WEMIS). Verified statistics indicate continuous improvement in river water quality: the proportion of rivers meeting biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) standards rose from below 50% in the 1970s to 89.6% in 2014 and 97% in 2024. Future priorities include the integration of IoT- and AI-enabled digital twins and adaptive governance frameworks aligned with Sustainable Development Goal 6 (SDG 6). Japan's trajectory exemplifies how scientifically grounded and transparent information systems can underpin sustainable water governance globally.

Keywords: Water Environmental Management; Information Systems; Smart Water Governance; Artificial Intelligence (AI); Sustainable Development Goal 6 (SDG 6); Japan.

1. Introduction

Japan's water environmental management provides a unique institutional and historical trajectory, demonstrating how a nation can evolve from severe postwar pollution crises to a data-driven and integrated governance model. The origins of this transformation lie in Japan's remarkable recovery from industrial contamination—typified by the Minamata and Itai-itai diseases—to the establishment of one of the world's most comprehensive environmental monitoring and information-sharing frameworks.

Amid growing global attention to digital water governance under SDG 6, synthesizing Japan's experience offers valuable insights. The evolution of Water Environmental Management Information Systems (WEMIS)—encompassing real-time monitoring networks, pollutant release registers, hydrological data platforms, and open-access databases—illustrates how science, technology, and policy can be harmonized within a transparent governance structure.

The objectives of this review are threefold:

(a) to trace the historical and institutional milestones of Japan's water information systems;

(b) to evaluate the current integration of AI, IoT, and data-sharing initiatives; and

(c) to propose future strategies for adaptive, internationally aligned water governance.

By linking Japan's historical development with its ongoing digital transformation, this paper positions WEMIS as both a scientific achievement and a transferable model for resilient and sustainable water management worldwide.

2. Historical Development

Japan's WEMIS have evolved over six decades through legislative, technological, and institutional reforms. Their development can be categorized into three phases: Foundation (1950s–1970s), Integration and Digitalization (1980s–2000s), and Institutional Cooperation and Global Outreach (2000s–2010s)—marking a shift from reactive control to proactive, evidence-based governance.

2.1 Foundation (1950s–1970s)

Rapid postwar industrialization led to severe water pollution, epitomized by the Minamata and Itai-itai diseases. The government responded with the Water Pollution Control Law (1970) and the establishment of the Environment Agency (1971), introducing Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) and nationwide monitoring networks. Prefectural laboratories began standardized observations of BOD, COD, and nutrients, forming Japan's first comprehensive water-quality database and institutionalizing evidence-based policy.

2.2 Integration and Digitalization (1980s–2000s)

The 1980s and 1990s witnessed the computerization of hydrological and water-quality data. The River Information System (RIS) expanded to provide real-time rainfall and discharge data for flood forecasting. The Total Pollutant Load Control System (TPLCS), introduced in 1979 for enclosed waters, was refined in the 1990s, while the Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR), launched in 1999, enhanced industrial transparency with about 40,000–45,000 annual notifications. These systems marked a transition toward quantitative and transparent management.

2.3 Institutional Cooperation and Global Outreach (2000s–2010s)

During the 2000s, web-based databases by the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) and the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT) enabled open access to monitoring results. The Basic Law on the Water Cycle (2014) promoted basin-scale integration of surface and groundwater data, while the Water Environment Partnership in Asia (WEPA), launched in 2004, advanced regional collaboration and data harmonization.

Together, these milestones transformed Japan's water management from a reactive framework to an internationally recognized model of data-centric environmental governance.

Table 1: Major Milestones in the Development of Japan's Water Environmental Management Information Systems

Period	Key Developments	Significance
1950s–1970s	Water Pollution Control Law (1970); Environment Agency (1971); EQS network established	Foundation of national environmental governance and standardized monitoring
1980s–1990s	Digital data entry; expansion of RIS; TPLCS refinement (1990s); PRTR (1999)	Shift from manual observation to technology-based, quantitative control
2000s–2010s	MOE/MLIT web databases; Basic Law on the Water Cycle (2014); WEPA (2004–)	Integration, inter-ministerial coordination, and regional knowledge sharing

3. Current Landscape

Japan's current water management system is characterized by multi-level governance integrating advanced monitoring technologies, inter-ministerial coordination, and expanding public participation. WEMIS forms the backbone of this structure, supporting pollution control, disaster prevention, and ecosystem conservation.

3.1 Data Infrastructure

The MOE operates approximately 2,500 monitoring stations measuring BOD, COD, total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), and emerging pollutants such as microplastics and pharmaceuticals. MLIT manages RIS, which collects rainfall, discharge, and water-level data from about 1,300 river gauges and 19,000 rain stations. Together, these systems constitute one of Asia's most comprehensive water-observation networks.

However, fragmented data management across ministries continues to limit interoperability. The Basic Plan on the Water Cycle (2024–2028) emphasizes unified metadata standards and cross-agency data sharing to enhance consistency and integration.

3.2 Technological Applications

Japan is advancing digital monitoring through AI, IoT, and satellite remote sensing. Pilot projects by the Tokyo Metropolitan Government (2023) improved rainfall prediction accuracy by 15–20% using machine-learning models. Deep learning approaches are increasingly used to detect anomalies in river water quality, while GOSAT and Sentinel data enable basin-scale environmental assessments. Yet, full integration remains constrained by financial resources, cybersecurity concerns, and uneven technical capacity among local governments.

3.3 Policy and Public Engagement

Transparency has been strengthened through the PRTR and MOE open-data initiatives. Citizen-science programs and NGOs now complement government monitoring, enriching national datasets. Nevertheless, persistent challenges remain—such as nitrate pollution in Hokkaido and Gifu and nutrient stagnation in Tokyo Bay and the Seto Inland Sea—highlighting the need for more adaptive, cross-sectoral management.

Overall, Japan's WEMIS exemplify a technically advanced yet evolving framework striving for seamless integration of hydrological, water-quality, and climate data within a unified adaptive governance system.

4. Future Perspectives

Japan's future water environmental management will rely on deepened digital integration, cross-sectoral collaboration, and enhanced climate resilience. The coming decade will be pivotal for transforming existing monitoring systems into adaptive, AI-driven infrastructures that support transparent and sustainable governance.

4.1 Digital Integration and Predictive Analytics

The Basic Plan on the Water Cycle (2024–2028) envisions linking separate databases on water quality, hydrology, and land use into a unified National Water Data Platform. Such integration would enable predictive modeling of droughts, floods, and pollution events. AI-based rainfall forecasting—already improving accuracy by 15–20%—demonstrates the potential of combining IoT sensor data with climate models to enhance water risk prediction and management.

4.2 Cross-Sectoral Data Fusion

Future systems are expected to connect water, energy, and carbon databases to promote integrated resource management and progress toward net-zero goals. Expanding citizen-

sensor networks could supplement official monitoring in depopulated rural areas where maintaining physical infrastructure is increasingly difficult.

4.3 Global Cooperation and Governance

Japan continues to reinforce its regional and global role through WEPA (2024) and JICA's digital training programs. The OECD Environmental Performance Reviews: Japan 2025 emphasizes deeper integration of water–climate governance. To sustain leadership, Japan must prioritize cybersecurity, inter-ministerial coordination, and long-term funding for data systems.

Table 2: Roadmap for Future Development of Japan's WEMIS

Focus Area	Key Actions	References
Digital Innovation	Establish National Water Data Platform; expand AI forecasting and IoT networks	[4,6,7,9]
Integration & Sharing	Harmonize data standards across ministries; promote open data	[6,7]
Adaptive Management	Link water, energy, and carbon databases for SDG 6 and net-zero goals	[6,10]
Public Participation	Expand citizen sensors and co-monitoring projects	[5,6,8]
International Cooperation	Strengthen WEPA, JICA, and OECD partnerships	[8,10]

5. Conclusion

Japan's Water Environmental Management Information Systems (WEMIS) represent one of the world's most mature frameworks for integrating science, technology, and policy in water governance. Over six decades, Japan has evolved from facing severe pollution and flood risks to becoming a global exemplar of evidence-based, transparent management.

Comprehensive monitoring, digital innovation, and inter-ministerial coordination have yielded tangible outcomes: the share of rivers meeting BOD standards has increased from below 50% in 1970 to nearly 97% in 2024. Nonetheless, challenges such as groundwater nitrate contamination, stagnating nutrient reduction in enclosed seas, and declining technical capacity due to demographic change persist.

Moving forward, Japan must transition from data accumulation to data integration and adaptive use, ensuring interoperability and resilience under climate variability. The next generation of WEMIS—anchored in AI analytics, citizen participation, and international cooperation—can advance transparency and policy relevance. Japan's experience demonstrates that robust, open, and interoperable water information systems not only

safeguard national resources but also offer a replicable model for climate-resilient water governance aligned with SDG 6.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Japan, under the program "Co-Design Approach to Develop Environmental Solutions toward Local Sustainability (2125SP050)."

References

[1] Sogabe, H., Okada, M., & Nakano, Y. (2001). A GIS methodology for the real-time assessment of micro-pollutant concentration in rivers: Application to Lake Biwa, *Japan. Environmental Technology*, 22(10), 1119–1124.

[2] Mishima, M., & Kurisu, F. (2011). Evaluation of intrinsic vulnerability to nitrate contamination of groundwater. *Agricultural Water Management*, 102, 35–43.

[3] Nakamura, K., & Kondo, A. (2008). Analysis of toxicity-weighted PRTR chemicals in Japan. *Environmental Science & Pollution Research*, 15(3), 204–211.

[4] Kawamura, A., & Tachikawa, Y. (2023). Urban flood runoff modeling in Japan: Recent developments and future prospects. *Water*, 15(15), 2342.

[5] Zhang, Q., & Liu, X. (2022). A sensitivity analysis of river environment factors through deep learning. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 153, 105412.

[6] Ministry of the Environment (MOE), Japan. (2024). *Annual Report on the Environment in Japan 2024*. Retrieved from https://www.env.go.jp/en/policy/

[7] Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT), Japan. (2025). *Basic Plan on the Water Cycle (2024–2028)*. Retrieved from https://www.mlit.go.jp/mizukokudo/mizsei/mizukokudo_mizsei_tk_000051.html

[8] Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES). (2024). WEPA Outlook 2024: *Water Environment Partnership in Asia*. Hayama, Japan. Retrieved from https://www.wepa-db.net/publications/wepaoutlook2024.htm

[9] Mordor Intelligence. (2024). *Japan Smart Water Management Market – Growth, Trends, Forecasts (2024–2033)*. Retrieved from https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/japan-smart-water-management-market

[10] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2025). *Water Governance in OECD Countries: Policy Review 2025*. Paris: OECD Publishing.